



# Excel Public Charter School

## 2016 - 2017 ANNUAL STUDENT ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE REPORT

by Washington State Charter School Commission

# INTRODUCTION

Every year, the Washington State Charter School Commission ("the Commission") produces an Annual Student Academic Performance Report for each charter school it oversees, for use by the school and other stakeholders.

The report summarizes the school's academic performance according to the most recent data available for the year in review.

The report also provides an overview of the charter school's enrollment demographics for the year reviewed.

# PURPOSE OF REPORT

The Washington State Charter School Commission is committed to promoting high-quality education for public school students in Washington. This Annual Performance Report is produced in order to:

- 1** Provide timely information to the charter school on its performance relative to the standards and expectations established by applicable federal and state law and the charter contract.
- 2** Identify the school's strengths and any areas needing improvement.
- 3** Provide information that enables the community and the public to understand the school's performance, including its fulfillment of public obligations.

# SCHOOL OVERVIEW



## Excel Public Charter School

<b>19300 108th Ave SE, Kent, WA 98031</b>	
<b>School Contact Information</b>	(253) 487-7530
<b>School Website</b>	<a href="http://excelwa.org">http://excelwa.org</a>
<b>Neighborhood Location</b>	Kent School District
<b>Leadership</b>	School Leader: Kristina Howard
<b>School Mission</b>	Excel Public Charter School (Excel) will provide all students with academically rigorous, STEM-focused, college preparatory program that will help students achieve both academic and personal success in college and career. Further, Excel will empower students to become agents of change in their communities through character development and culturally-responsive pedagogy. Excel's aspiration is to see thousands of our students graduate from the colleges of their choice and return to play an integral role in the economic sustainability and cultural viability of the Kent, Washington area.
<b>Grades Served</b>	6-8
<b>First Year of Operation</b>	2016 - 2017
<b>Total Student Enrollment</b>	187 Students

## Student Demographics


### STUDENT GROUPS



### GENDER




### RACE / ETHNICITY



# AT A GLANCE

## EXCEL PUBLIC CHARTER SCHOOL



### Is Excel Public Charter School's academic program a success?

The Commission reviews a charter school's performance annually and at the time of renewal. The results are used by the Commission to make decisions pertaining to renewal, revocation, and corrective action plans. In schools that meet or exceed expectations, student learning—the central purpose of every school—is taking place and the Commission can consider the academic program to be effective.

In 2016 - 2017, Excel Public Charter School was in its first year of operation. Washington School Improvement Framework scores are not assigned until schools have two years of data.

#### EXCEEDS Standard

The school is exceeding expectations and showing exemplary performance. This rating only applies to academic performance.

#### MEETS Standard

The school is performing well and meeting expectations for performance.

#### DOES NOT MEET Standard

The school has failed to meet minimum expectations for performance.

#### FALLS FAR BELOW Standard

The school falls far below the stated expectations and/or significant concern(s) are noted. The failures are material and significant to the viability to the school.

# ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE

The Academic Performance Framework (APF) includes measures that allow the Commission to evaluate charter school academic performance.

**This section answers the evaluative question: IS THE ACADEMIC PROGRAM A SUCCESS?**

A charter school that meets the standards in this area is implementing its academic program effectively, and student learning—the central purpose of every school—is taking place.

The APF contains measures that have been grouped according to:

## **1. STATE AND FEDERAL ACCOUNTABILITY RESULTS** answering the question:

Is the charter school meeting performance expectations based on the Washington School Improvement Framework?

## **2. GEOGRAPHIC COMPARISONS** answering the question:

How are charter school students performing compared to the district in which the school is located?

## **3. COMPARISON TO SCHOOLS SERVING SIMILAR STUDENTS** answering the question:


How are charter school students performing compared to schools serving similar students?

## **4. SCHOOL-SPECIFIC ACADEMIC GOALS** answering the question:

Did the school meet its school specific academic goals?

# The APF measures "roll-up" to an overall rating.

The overall rating provides clarity to schools about how decisions will be made and which components of performance are most important. Additionally, an overall rating provides a "bright line" regarding charter school performance expectations that ensures consistency in Commission decision-making and supports parents as they navigate their public school options.



A charter school receives one of four ratings: “Exceeds Standard”, “Meets Standard”, “Does Not Meet Standard”, or “Falls Far Below Standard”.


Tier	Rating	Performance
1	EXCEEDS Standard	School is exceeding performance expectations and is on par with the highest-performing schools in the state.
2	MEETS Standard	School is consistently meeting performance expectations.
3	DOES NOT MEET Standard	School shows weakness in one or more academic areas Possible intervention.
4	FALLS FAR BELOW	School is consistently failing to meet academic performance expectations. Likely intervention; possible revocation

**E** Exceeds Standards

**M** Meets Standards

**D** Does Not Meet Standard

**F** Falls Far Below Standard



# Excel Public Charter School

N/A - The school did not receive Washington School Improvement Framework scores this year and is not assigned an overall rating in the WSCSC Academic Performance Framework. The Commission has suspended overall tiers for the 2016-17 school year.

INDICATOR		MEASURE	School Rating		
<b>1. State Accountability</b>	1a. WA School Improvement Framework	1a.1. All Students Framework Score	N/A	→	N/A
		1a.2. Subgroup Framework Score	N/A		
<b>2. Geographic Comparisons</b>	2a.1. Proficiency comparison to district		F	→	N/A
	2a.2. Subgroup proficiency comparison to district		D		
	2b.1. All students growth comparison to district		F		
	2b.2. Subgroup growth comparison to district		D		
	2c.1. Graduation rate comparison to district		N/A		
	2c.2. Graduation rate subgroup comparison to district		N/A		
<b>3. Comparison to Schools Serving Similar Students (Regression)</b>	3a. Proficiency comparison to schools serving similar students		F	→	N/A
	3b. Graduation rate comparison to schools serving similar students		N/A		
<b>4. School-Specific Goals</b>	4a.1. Student growth through guided reading 4a.2. Computer Science course completion		D	→	N/A

N/A

**E** Exceeds Standards

**M** Meets Standards

**D** Does Not Meet Standard

**F** Falls Far Below Standard



# METHODOLOGY

## EXCEL PUBLIC CHARTER SCHOOL

### STATE & FEDERAL ACCOUNTABILITY

#### Washington School Improvement Framework

MEASURE	SCHOOL PERFORMANCE	MEETS STANDARD?	NOTES
1a.1. All Students Framework Score	N/A	N/A	N/A
1a.2. Subgroup Framework Score	N/A	N/A	N/A

#### STATE ACCOUNTABILITY SYSTEM

The Washington State Board of Education (SBE) and the Office of the Superintendent of Public Instruction (OSPI) developed the Washington School Improvement Framework to evaluate and track the performance of all schools in the state under Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) requirements. In order to align charter school accountability expectations with the state accountability system, the state’s Framework Score serves as the foundation of the APF, supplemented by additional measures required by WAC 108-30-020(a).

The Washington School Improvement Framework evaluates all students and targeted subgroups on five components: proficiency, growth, EL progress, graduation rates, and School Quality Student Success (SQSS). Each year, the state calculates framework scores for all students and for each student subgroup. Framework scores are based on the most recent three years of student performance results.

#### 1a.1. State Accountability: All Student WA School Improvement Framework Score

Is the charter school meeting performance expectations based on the state accountability system?

- E** **Exceeds Standard:** Charter school receives an all student Framework Score of 8, 9 or 10.
- M** **Meets Standard:** Charter school receives an all student Framework Score of 6 or 7.

- D** **Does Not Meet Standard:** Charter school receives an all student Framework Score of 4 or 5.
- F** **Falls Far Below Standard:** Charter school receives an all student Framework Score of 1, 2 or 3.

### 1a.2. State Accountability: Subgroup WA School Improvement Framework Score

Are students in subgroups in the charter school meeting performance expectations based on the state accountability system?

**E** **Exceeds Standard:** Charter school receives a subgroup Framework Score of 8, 9 or 10.

**D** **Does Not Meet Standard:** Charter school receives a subgroup Framework Score of 4 or 5.

**M** **Meets Standard:** Charter school receives a subgroup Framework Score of 6 or 7.

**F** **Falls Far Below Standard:** Charter school receives a subgroup Framework Score of 1, 2 or 3.



# GEOGRAPHIC COMPARISON:

## How does charter school performance compare to the district of residence?

DISTRICT: KENT SCHOOL DISTRICT

### PROFICIENCY RATE

#### 2a.1. Proficiency Comparison to District

GROUP	ELA			MATH			SCIENCE			NOTES
	School	Dist.	Meets Standard?	School	Dist.	Meets Standard?	School	Dist.	Meets Standard?	
All Students	48%	58%	Falls Far Below	46%	48%	Does Not Meet	54%	67%	Falls Far Below	N/A

Middle grade students in Washington take the End of Year science assessment in 5th and 8th grade.

During the 2016- 2017 school year, Excel served 6th and 7th and 8th grade.

District proficiency rates includes only grades served by the charter school.

#### 2a.1. Proficiency Comparison to District

How are charter school students performing on state assessments compared to the district in which the school is located?

**E** **Exceeds Standard:** School proficiency rate is 10 or more percentage points above the district average for schools serving the same grades.

**D** **Does Not Meet Standard:** School proficiency rate is up to 9 percentage points below the district average for schools serving the same grades.

**M** **Meets Standard:** School proficiency rate is equal to or up to 9 percentage points above the district average for schools serving the same grades.

**F** **Falls Far Below Standard:** School proficiency rate is 10 or more percentage points below the district average for schools serving the same grades.

# PROFICIENCY RATE

## 2a.2. Proficiency Comparison to District

GROUP	ELA			MATH			SCIENCE			NOTES
	School	Dist.	Meets Standard?	School	Dist.	Meets Standard?	School	Dist.	Meets Standard?	
American Indian / Alaskan Native	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Asian	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Black / African American	42%	32%	Exceeds	33%	22%	Exceeds	56%	42%	Exceeds	Includes 7th and 8th grade only.
Hispanic / Latino of any race(s)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Native Hawaiian / Other Pacific Islander	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Two or More Races	48%	61%	Falls Far Below	48%	41%	Meets	N/A	N/A	N/A	Includes 7th grade only.
White	50%	68%	Falls Far Below	50%	60%	Falls Far Below	N/A	N/A	N/A	Includes 6th and 7th grade only.
Female	58%	66%	Does Not Meet	52%	48%	Meets	58%	70%	Falls Far Below	Includes 7th and 8th grade only.
Male	42%	50%	Does Not Meet	44%	45%	Does Not Meet	50%	65%	Falls Far Below	Includes 7th and 8th grade only.
Special Education	17%	11%	Meets	17%	8%	Meets	N/A	N/A	N/A	Includes 7th grade only.
Limited English	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Low Income	39%	43%	Does Not Meet	39%	32%	Meets	55%	51%	Meets	Includes 7th and 8th grade only.

Middle grade students in Washington take the End of Year science assessment in 5th and 8th grade.

During the 2016-2017 school year, Excel served 6th and 7th and 8th grade. Any grades not shown suppressed due to n-sizes.

District proficiency rates include only grades served by the charter school.

### 2a.2. Proficiency Comparison to District

How are charter school students in subgroups performing on state assessments compared to the district in which the charter is located?

**E** **Exceeds Standard:** School subgroup proficiency rate is 10 or more percentage points above the district average for schools serving the same grades.

**D** **Does Not Meet Standard:** School subgroup proficiency rate is up to or equal to 9 percentage points below the district average for schools serving the same grades.

**M** **Meets Standard:** School subgroup proficiency rate is equal to or is up to or equal to 9 points above the district average for schools serving the same grades.

**F** **Falls Far Below Standard:** School subgroup proficiency rate is 10 or more percentage points below the district average for schools serving the same grades.

# MEDIAN GROWTH PERCENTILE

## 2b.1. All Students Growth Comparison to District

GROUP	ELA			MATH			SCIENCE			NOTES
	School	Dist.	Meets Standard?	School	Dist.	Meets Standard?	School	Dist.	Meets Standard?	
All Students	32	52	Falls Far Below	47	55	Falls Far Below	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

### 2b.1. Student Growth - All Students - Comparison to the District

Are charter school students meeting growth expectations compared to the district in which the school is located? (based on subgroup median growth percentiles (MGPs))

**E** **Exceeds Standard:** School MGP is 5 or more points above the district median.

**D** **Does Not Meet Standard:** School MGP is up to 4 points below the district median.

**M** **Meets Standard:** School MGP is equal to or up to 4 percentage points above the district median.

**F** **Falls Far Below Standard:** School MGP is 5 or more points below the district median.

# MEDIAN GROWTH PERCENTILE

## 2b.2. Subgroup Growth Comparison to District

GROUP	ELA			MATH			SCIENCE			NOTES
	School	Dist.	Meets Standard?	School	Dist.	Meets Standard?	School	Dist.	Meets Standard?	
American Indian / Alaskan Native	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Asian	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Black / African American	30	51	Falls Far Below	30	50	Falls Far Below	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Hispanic / Latino of any race(s)	22	52	Falls Far Below	40	54	Falls Far Below	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Native Hawaiian / Other Pacific Islander	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Two or More Races	24	50	Falls Far Below	52	50	Meets	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
White	47	54	Falls Far Below	57	54	Meets	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Female	27	54	Falls Far Below	40	51	Falls Far Below	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Male	43	50	Falls Far Below	53	52	Meets	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Special Education	27	44	Falls Far Below	39	41	Does Not Meet	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Limited English	47	48	Does Not Meet	51	49	Meets	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Low Income	30	48	Falls Far Below	37	53	Falls Far Below	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Any grades not shown suppressed due to n-sizes.

### 2b.2. Student Growth - Subgroups - Comparison to District in Which the School is Located

Are charter school student subgroups meeting growth expectations compared to the student subgroups in the district in which the charter is located? (Based on subgroup median growth percentiles (MGPs))

<b>E</b>	<b>Exceeds Standard:</b> School subgroup MGP is 5 or more points above the district median.	<b>D</b>	<b>Does Not Meet Standard:</b> School subgroup MGP is up to or equal to 4 points below the district median.
<b>M</b>	<b>Meets Standard:</b> School subgroup MGP is equal to or up to 4 points above the district median.	<b>F</b>	<b>Falls Far Below Standard:</b> School subgroup MGP is 5 or more points below the district median.

# GRADUATION RATE

## 2c.1. Graduation Rate Comparison to District

GROUP	SCHOOL PERFORMANCE	DISTRICT PERFORMANCE	MEETS STANDARD?	NOTES
All Students	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

*During the 2016-2017 school year, Excel served 6th and 7th and 8th grade.*

### 2c.1. Graduation Rate - All Students - Comparison to District

How are charter school student graduation rates compared to the district in which the charter is located?



**Exceeds Standard:** Charter school graduation rate is 10 or more percentage points above the district average.



**Does Not Meet Standard:** Charter school graduation rate is up to 9 percentage points below the district average.



**Meets Standard:** Charter school graduation rate is equal to or up to 9 percentage points above the district average.



**Falls Far Below Standard:** Charter school graduation rate is 10 or more percentage points below the district average.

# GRADUATION RATE

## 2c.2. Graduation Rate Subgroup Comparison to District

GROUP	SCHOOL PERFORMANCE	DISTRICT PERFORMANCE	MEETS STANDARD?	NOTES
American Indian / Alaskan Native	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Asian	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Black / African American	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Hispanic / Latino of any race(s)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Native Hawaiian / Other Pacific Islander	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Two or More Races	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
White	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Female	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Male	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Special Education	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Limited English	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Low Income	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

During the 2016-2017 school year, Excel served 6th and 7th and 8th grade.

### 2c.2. Graduation Rate - Subgroup - Comparison to District

How do charter school student subgroup graduation rates compared to the district graduation rates in which the charter is located?

**E** **Exceeds Standard:** Charter school subgroup graduation rate is 10 or more percentage points above the district average.

**D** **Does Not Meet Standard:** Charter school subgroup graduation rate is up to 9 percentage points below the district average.

**M** **Meets Standard:** Charter school subgroup graduation rate equals or is up to 9 percentage points above the district average.

**F** **Falls Far Below Standard:** Charter school subgroup graduation rate is 10 or more percentage points below the district average.



# COMPARISON TO SCHOOLS SERVING SIMILAR STUDENTS

## 3a. Proficiency Comparison to Schools Serving Similar Students

GROUP	EFFECT SIZE	MEETS STANDARD?	NOTES
Proficiency Rate - ELA	-0.52	Falls Far Below	N/A
Proficiency Rate - Math	-0.04	Does Not Meet	N/A
Proficiency Rate - Science	N/A	N/A	N/A

During the 2016-2017 school year, Excel served 6th and 7th and 8th grade.

### 3a. Proficiency Comparison to Schools Serving Similar Students

How are charter school students performing on state assessments compared to schools serving similar students?

**E** **Exceeds Standard:** Charter school proficiency rate exceeds expected performance (effect size .30 or greater)

**D** **Does Not Meet Standard:** Charter school proficiency rate is lower than expected performance (effect size -0.01 to -.29)

**M** **Meets Standard:** Charter school proficiency rate meets or slightly exceeds expected performance (effect size 0 to .29)

**F** **Falls Far Below Standard:** Charter school proficiency rate falls far below expected performance (effect size -.30 or less)

## 3b. Graduation Rate Comparison to Schools Serving Similar Students

	ACTUAL VALUE	PREDICTED VALUE	EFFECT SIZE	MEETS STANDARD?	NOTES
Graduation Rate	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

During the 2016-2017 school year, Excel served 6th and 7th and 8th grade.

### 3b. Graduation Rate - Comparison to Schools Serving Similar Students

How did the charter school graduation rate compare to schools serving similar students statewide?

**E** **Exceeds Standard:** Charter school graduation rate exceeds expected performance (effect size .30 or greater)

**D** **Does Not Meet Standard:** Charter school graduation rate is lower than expected performance (effect size -0.01 to -.29)

**M** **Meets Standard:** Charter school graduation rate meets or slightly exceeds expected performance (effect size 0 to .29)

**F** **Falls Far Below Standard:** Charter school graduation rate falls far below expected performance (effect size -.30 or less)

For information on rationale for effect size thresholds, see *Statistical Power Analysis for the Behavioral Sciences*, Cohen (1988).

# SCHOOL-SPECIFIC GOALS

## 4a.1. Student growth through guided reading

GOAL	SCHOOL PERFORMANCE	MEETS STANDARD?	NOTES
At least 60% of students will meet their personal growth targets as measured by the MAP pre and post assessments at the start and end of the school year.	54%	Does Not Meet	N/A

**E** **Exceeds Standard:**  
Greater than 60% of students will meet their individual growth target by the end of the school year.

**D** **Does Not Meet Standard:**  
Between 50% - 60% of students will meet their MAP individual growth target by the end of the school year.

**M** **Meets Standard:**  
60% of students will meet their individual growth target by the end of the school year.

**F** **Falls Far Below Standard:**  
Less than 50% of students will meet their individual growth target by the end of the school year.

## 4a.2. Computer Science course completion

GOAL	SCHOOL PERFORMANCE	MEETS STANDARD?	NOTES
At least 80% of 7th and 8th grade students will complete one year of computer science by the end of the school year, with a "C" or above.	71%	Does Not Meet	N/A

**E** **Exceeds Standard:**  
Over 80% of 7th and 8th grade students complete one year of computer science

**D** **Does Not Meet Standard:**  
60-79% of 7th and 8th grade students complete one year of computer science coursework

**M** **Meets Standard:**  
80% of 7th and 8th grade students complete one year of computer science coursework within the year, with a "C" or better.

**F** **Falls Far Below Standard:**  
Less than 60% of 7th & 8th grade students complete one year of computer science coursework within the year, with a "C" or better.

# ADDITIONAL INDICATORS

**Starting in 2017-18, charter school performance on four additional indicators will be compared to the resident district:**

- English Learner Progress
  - Percentage of students who are making enough progress to transition out of the program within at most six years.
- Regular Attendance
  - Percentage of students attending 90% or more school days.
- 9th Graders on Track
  - Percentage of first time 9th graders who earned all credits attempted.
  - Applies to all schools serving 9<sup>th</sup> grade students.
- Dual Credit
  - Percentage of students in grades 9-12 who completed a dual credit course or program (AP, College in HS, Cambridge, IB, Running Start, or Tech Prep).
  - Applies to all schools serving 9<sup>th</sup> grade students.

## 2d.1. English Learner Progress Comparison to District

## 2e.1. Regular Attendance Comparison to District

## 2f.1. 9th Graders on Track Comparison to District

## 2g.1. Dual Credit Comparison to District

Group	English Learner Progress			Regular Attendance			9th Graders on Track			Dual Credit		
	School	District*	Meets Standard?	School	District*	Meets Standard?	School	District*	Meets Standard?	School	District*	Meets Standard?
All Students	N/A	N/A	N/A	78%	76%	Meets	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

*During the 2016/17 school year, Excel served 6<sup>th</sup>, 7<sup>th</sup>, and 8<sup>th</sup> grade. District results are presented only if corresponding charter results are available.*

*\*District values are estimated; final results pending.*

How are charter school students performing compared to the district in which the charter is located?

**E** **Exceeds Standard:** School performance is 10 or more percentage points above the district average.

**D** **Does Not Meet Standard:** School performance is up to 9 percentage points below the district average.

**M** **Meets Standard:** School performance is equal to or up to 9 percentage points above the district average.

**F** **Falls Far Below Standard:** School performance is 10 or more percentage points below the district average.

# ADDITIONAL INDICATORS

## 2d.2 English Learner Progress Subgroup Comparison to District

## 2e.2 Regular Attendance Subgroup Comparison to District

## 2f.2 9th Graders on Track Subgroup Comparison to District

## 2g.2 Dual Credit Subgroup Comparison to District

Group	English Learner Progress			Regular Attendance			9th Graders on Track			Dual Credit		
	School	District*	Meets Standard?	School	District*	Meets Standard?	School	District*	Meets Standard?	School	District*	Meets Standard?
American Indian/Alaskan Native	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Asian	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Black / African American	N/A	N/A	N/A	81%	70%	Meets	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Hispanic / Latino of any race(s)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Native Hawaiian / Other Pacific Islander	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Two or More Races	N/A	N/A	N/A	84%	76%	Meets	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
White	N/A	N/A	N/A	70%	72%	Does Not Meet	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Female	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Male	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Special Education	N/A	N/A	N/A	69%	72%	Does Not Meet	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Limited English	N/A	N/A	N/A	79%	83%	Does Not Meet	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Low Income	N/A	N/A	N/A	71%	80%	Does Not Meet	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

During the 2016/17 school year, Excel served 6<sup>th</sup>, 7<sup>th</sup>, and 8<sup>th</sup> grade. Any subgroup not shown suppressed due to n-sizes. District results are presented only if corresponding charter results are available.

\*District values are estimated; final results pending.

How are charter school students in subgroups performing compared to the district in which the charter is located?

**E** **Exceeds Standard:** School subgroup performance is 10 or more percentage points above the district average.

**D** **Does Not Meet Standard:** School subgroup performance is up to 9 percentage points below the district average.

**M** **Meets Standard:** School subgroup performance is equal to or up to 9 percentage points above the district average.

**F** **Falls Far Below Standard:** School subgroup performance is 10 or more percentage points below the district average.



WASHINGTON STATE  
*Charter School Commission*  
STUDENTS • INNOVATION • TRANSPARENCY

**MAILING ADDRESS**

P.O. Box 40996, Olympia,  
WA 98504-0996

**STREET ADDRESS**

1068 Washington Street SE,  
Olympia, WA 98501

**PHONE**

(360) 725-5511

**EMAIL**

[charterschoolinfo@k12.wa.us](mailto:charterschoolinfo@k12.wa.us)

**[CHARTERSCHOOL.WA.GOV](http://CHARTERSCHOOL.WA.GOV)**

